

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FI | LING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATI | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRM | | |
|-----------------------|------|------------|----------------------|-----|-----------------------------|--------------|--|
| 10/606,202 | | 06/24/2003 | Andrew D. Firlik | | 33734-8046US | 4373 | |
| 25096 | 7590 | 05/16/2005 | | | EXAMINER | | |
| PERKINS COIE LLP | | | | | BRADFORD, RODERICK D | | |
| PATENT-S | EA | | • | _ | | | |
| P.O. BOX 1247 | | | | • | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| SEATTLE WA 08111 1247 | | | | | 1767 | | |

DATE MAILED: 05/16/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | | Application | No. | Applicant(s) | | | | | |
|--|---|---|--|--|---------|--|--|--|--|
| Office | Antina Cumman | 10/606,202 | ! | FIRLIK ET AL. | | | | | |
| Office . | Action Summary | Examiner | | Art Unit | | | | | |
| | | Roderick B | | 3762 | | | | | |
| The MAILII Period for Reply | NG DATE of this communication | appears on the | cover sheet with the c | orrespondence ad | idress | | | | |
| THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time ma after SIX (6) MONTHS - If the period for reply s - If NO period for reply - Failure to reply within the series of | STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE TE OF THIS COMMUNICATION To be available under the provisions of 37 CFF Trom the mailing date of this communication pecified above is less than thirty (30) days, a s specified above, the maximum statutory pe the set or extended period for reply will, by st the Office later than three months after the materials. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | DN. R 1.136(a). In no even I. In reply within the statute In riod will apply and will Itatute, cause the applic | t, however, may a reply be timory minimum of thirty (30) days expire SIX (6) MONTHS from ation to become ABANDONEI | nely filed s will be considered time the mailing date of this o D (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | | | | |
| Status | | | | | | | | | |
| 1) Responsive | Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13 January 2005. | | | | | | | | |
| 2a) This action | is FINAL. 2b)⊠ ⁻ | This action is no | n-final. | : | | | | | |
| | 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is | | | | | | | | |
| closed in ac | closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | | | | |
| Disposition of Claim | S | | | | | | | | |
| 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>21</u> | ☑ Claim(s) <u>21-25 and 29-36</u> is/are pending in the application. | | | | | | | | |
| 4a) Of the a | 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | | | | | |
| 5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>30</u> | ☑ Claim(s) <u>30-36</u> is/are allowed. | | | | | | | | |
| | Claim(s) <u>21-25 and 29</u> is/are rejected. | | | | | | | | |
| | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | | | | | | | |
| 8) Claim(s) | 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. | | | | | | | | |
| Application Papers | | | | | | | | | |
| 9)☐ The specific | ation is objected to by the Exan | niner. | | | | | | | |
| 10)☐ The drawing | 0) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | | | |
| , , | Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | | | | | |
| • | Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. | | | | | | | | |
| 11) The oath or | declaration is objected to by the | e Examiner. Not | e the attached Office | Action or form P | 10-152. | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S | S.C. § 119 | | | | | | | | |
| a) All b) Certii 2. Certii 3. Copio | ment is made of a claim for fore Some * c) \(\sum \) None of: Tied copies of the priority docum Tied copies of the priority docum Ties of the certified copies of the position from the International But The ched detailed Office action for a | nents have been nents have been priority documer reau (PCT Rule | received. received in Applicatints have been received | on No ed in this Nationa | l Stage | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| Attachment(s) | | | | | • | | | | |
| 1) Notice of Reference | | , | 4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da | | | | | | |
| 3) X Information Disclosu | on's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) re Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SE te <u>12417/</u> 63 <u> 1</u> 23/64 12427/64 | 3/08) | 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other: | | O-152) | | | | |

Application/Control Number: 10/606,202 Page 2

Art Unit: 3762

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Claims 1-20 and 26-28 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected Groups I and III, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made **without** traverse in the reply filed on December 27, 2004.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 3. Claims 21 and 29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by John et al. U.S. Patent No. 6,066,163.

Referring to claim 21 John discloses a method of treating a neural function in a brain of a patient comprising: determining a therapy period during which at least one therapy session is to be performed to recover and/or develop a functional ability corresponding to the neural function, identifying a stimulation site in and/or on the brain of the patient associated with the neural function, providing at least one electrical stimulation treatment to the stimulation site by delivering electrical stimulation signals to

Application/Control Number: 10/606,202 Page 3

Art Unit: 3762

the electrode during the therapy session and discontinuing the electrical stimulation signals delivered to the stimulation site after expiration of the therapy session (column 3, lines 19-34).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 6. Claims 22-25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over John et al. U.S. Patent No. 6,066,163.

Referring to claims 22-25 John discloses the claimed invention except for wherein determining the therapy period comprises setting a limiting module to terminate stimulation after a period from approximately one day to not more than one year, than one month, than one week or after a predetermined number of therapy sessions. It

Application/Control Number: 10/606,202

Page 4

Art Unit: 3762

would have been an obvious matter of design choice to one skilled in the art to modify the teachings of John to include setting a limiting module to terminate stimulation after a period from approximately one day to not more than one year, than one month, than one week or after a predetermined number of therapy sessions, since the applicant has not disclosed that setting a limiting module to terminate stimulation after a period from approximately one day to not more than one year, than one month, than one week or after a predetermined number of therapy sessions provides any criticality and/or unexpected results and it appears that the invention would perform equally well with any limiting module such as taught by John as a means to control the amount of stimulation being supplied to the patient.

Allowable Subject Matter

7. Claims 30-36 allowed.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Roderick Bradford whose telephone number is (571) 272-4942. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday 9 a.m. - 6:30 p.m..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Angela Sykes can be reached on (571) 272-4955. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 3762

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

R. Dearford

Rb

ANGELA D. SYKES SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700

angel Q April